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SHORT COMMUNICATION

The field of gynaecology is at a crossroads, and if our field is to cross the road, it must engage in self-reflection, like a mirror gazing into a mirror. As we leave the mirror of the field of gynaecology gazing into itself at the crossroads, it is time that the rest of us discussed the enemy within. It is time that we discussed how to expunge the enemy within from the field, at the crossroads [1].

The enemy within

Over the past decade, the field of gynaecology has become overrun with vermin. These vermin look and act like academic publishers, but their presence is problematic insofar as it is predicated on non-standard conceptions of reality. While equally as valid as standard conceptions of reality, non-standard conceptions of reality make it impossible to form predictions or duplicate findings [2]. Naomi Sims sums up the current sad state of things below (Table 1).

The contents of Table 1 may be unsurprising in 2019, they fly in the face of the ACOG’s Guidelines on the Essential Properties of a Gynecological Journal 2019 and create a situation in which surgeons may find themselves swallowing cannulas in an effort to ‘scare away orgones’ [3]. The contents of Figure 1 should come as no surprise.

A modest proposal

As practitioners of the world’s greatest art, surgeons are familiar with the process of removing foreign bodies from the stomach. It is time that we extended that skill into new areas and cut out the vermin of fabrication from the crossroads at the heart of the field of gynaecology. Further research funding should be directed towards finding the people behind the open access journal of biomedical science and flying them to the Hague [4].
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Table 1: Excerpt from a table in ‘Caytyves and rank corruption at the hearte of our professione’ Sims [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal title</th>
<th>Advisability of forming predictions based on the content of this journal</th>
<th>Possibility of replicating research presented in this journal</th>
<th>Probability that this journal will contain stories about giant railway guns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human Reproduction Update</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Not impossible</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Not impossible</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertility and Sterility</td>
<td>Not inconsiderable</td>
<td>Not impossible</td>
<td>Fairly low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Access Journal of Biomedical Science</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Not low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gynecology &amp; Reproductive Health (SciVision Publishers)</td>
<td>On par with the advisability of wearing gazelle-meat trousers in the lion enclosure</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Extremely high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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